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Abstract

Efforts to delineate underground mine workings in the vicinity of tailings impoundments has relied on available maps and confirmatory boreholes. Often the characterization of regions between boreholes remains suspect or entirely unknown. Surface geophysical measurements can be used to supplement the borehole data and better delineate these intermediate zones. Furthermore, geophysics can be used to optimize the number and locations of the boreholes.

There are several surface geophysical methods that are applicable to detect subsurface voids:

- **Gravimetry** measures variations in the acceleration of gravity. The strength of this acceleration generally depends on the density of the underlying material. Less massive zones, such as cavities, generally constitute relative gravitational lows.
- **Seismic measurements** delineate reflections and refractions of compressional or shear waves off subsurface layers with differing densities and wave velocities. There is usually a strong reflection on a cavity boundary.
- **Electromagnetic and Electrical methods** measure changes in resistivity. Cavities alter the electrical resistance. Mine voids in coal mines are usually encountered as resistivity lows because of the presence of acidic mine water.
- **Other Methods**, such as magnetics and ground penetrating radar have been used for detecting subsurface cavities, but can be subject to precision, interference, or depth limitations which restrict their use in mining applications.

Project experience with various surface geophysical methods demonstrates that commercially available technology can effectively detect and delineate mine workings. Two techniques have the greatest potential for application. The DC resistivity method offers the best potential for the rapid mapping mine workings at a depth of 50 – 100 feet or less. For workings at a depth of 50 – 100 feet or greater, the seismic reflection method, especially with the use of S-waves, has the greatest potential for success.

Introduction

Two recent events have focused national attention on the need for mapping underground mine workings, the failure of the Martin County Coal Corporation tailings impoundment near Inez, Kentucky on October 11, 2000 and the July 24, 2002 Quecreek Mine inundation that trapped nine miners for 77 hours in Somerset County, Pennsylvania. In both cases, unexpected conditions related to abandoned mines were the sources of the accidents. In
the case of the Inez, Kentucky tailings impoundment failure, the overburden between an abandoned mine and the base of a slurry impoundment was too thin and the slurry broke into the mine. The consequence was that slurry broke out and flooded two separate watersheds with coal refuse. In the case of the Quecreek Mine flood, miners excavated into the flooded workings of the abandoned Saxman Mine, which they thought was hundreds of feet away.

Both of the above conditions require that the location of underground workings be precisely defined. This is not an easy task. Detailed mine maps may be unreliable or missing. Conventional exploration (drilling) can easily miss targets as small as a mine entry. Because of these difficulties, the National Resource Council appointed the Committee on Coal Waste Impoundments whose charge included a task to evaluate alternative technologies to locate mine workings, as recently published in the book *Coal Waste Impoundments, Risks, Responses and Alternatives* (National Academy of Sciences, 2002). The Governor’s Commission on Abandoned Mine Voids and Mine Safety convened in October 2002 as a result of the Quecreek inundation is also in the process of compiling information of the various geophysical technologies that could be applied to the mapping of mine workings. This paper does not repeat geophysical theory of the different technologies that can be found in the NAS book, but focuses on our experience with the practical application of some of these methods.

**Potentially Applicable Geophysical Technology**

The starting point of a geophysical investigation must be basic physics. Geophysics will be effective only if a target of interest has a physical contrast with the surrounding ground. For example, a mine entry containing metal tracks could be an easy target for a magnetic survey, but if the tracks are not present, the magnetic contrast of the entry might be too subtle to measure. Another important consideration is if the geophysical contrast of the target can be distinguished from other features with similar contrasts—a what geophysicists call the signal to noise ratio. A mine entry might be relatively easy to identify with a gravity survey that can detect a void space as a zone of low density, but if the target is located in an area of rugged topography, the errors associated with the topographic corrections can easily mask the response from the mine workings. Notwithstanding the above difficulties, mine workings can be associated with measurable physical properties:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physical Property</th>
<th>Intact Coal</th>
<th>Open Void</th>
<th>Flooded Void</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Electrical resistivity</td>
<td>high*</td>
<td>high**</td>
<td>usually low***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seismic velocity</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>barrier</td>
<td>low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>very low</td>
<td>very low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Intact coal normally has a high resistivity, but can be of a relatively low resistivity if weathered or flooded with acidic water.
** Open voids are expected to be of a high resistivity, but the authors have encountered several examples where voids are marked by resistivity lows, possibly because of chemical interactions at the face of weathered pillars.
*** A flooded void could have a high resistivity if the water has a neutral pH.

Illustration of physical properties associated with mine openings
contrasts. Potentially applicable techniques include:

**Gravity** – The detection of mine workings with the gravity method is based on the measurement of minute changes in the earth's gravity field caused by the lack of near-surface mass associated with the mine. Basically, you weigh less when you are standing over a mine. The measurement of the gravity field for this application is referred to as microgravimetry and requires the use of specialized gravimeters that with a sensitivity of a microgal, approximately a billionth of the earth's gravity field. An air-filled void represents the maximum density contrast that could be caused by a mine opening and a mine at a depth of about 30 feet would in theory be detectable with commercial equipment. In practice, the gravity method is time-consuming to acquire and elevation control requirements are such that it is preferable to have a topographic survey crew accompany the geophysicist to measure the precise elevation of the instrument at each reading. For a target as shallow as 30 feet, the width of the gravity anomaly is about 100 feet, which implies that the survey requires a significant amount of accessible space, which is not often present. Furthermore, it is often difficult to correct the gravity data for variations caused by tides, surrounding topography, instrumental drift, and elevation. In particular, the authors have found that microtopographic changes can significantly affect gravity readings. Unless the target is in a flat, open area and the depth does not exceed about 40-50 feet, the gravity method will probably not be practical.

**Seismic Methods** - The seismic techniques consist of measuring the travel time required for a seismic wave generated at or near the surface to return to surface or near-surface detectors (geophones) after reflection or refraction from acoustic interfaces between subsurface horizons. The seismic reflection method is the most powerful of all geophysical techniques in mapping subsurface layering and is by far the most commonly applied method to ex-
plore for oil and gas. It is much less commonly applied in environmental and engineering projects because of its relatively high cost. Contrary to most other methods, shallow studies are more expensive than the deep surveys conducted for oil and gas exploration because of the need to make closely spaced measurements. Nevertheless, the method offers the possibility to define subsurface structure beyond the ability of other methods. Seismic reflection has been applied to mapping the continuity of coal seams in advance of longwall mining, especially in Europe where mining is commonly at depths greater than 1000 feet and it is difficult and expensive to characterize a coal seam with borings. The method has also been successfully applied to the mapping of mine voids, but the experience base is limited and few practitioners have the skills to properly conduct this type of survey. Further information on the application of this technique to map underground mines is presented with some of the Case Histories.

**DC Resistivity** - The purpose of DC electrical surveys is to determine the subsurface resistivity distribution by making measurements on the ground surface. From these measurements, the true resistivity of the subsurface can be estimated. The ground resistivity is related to various geological parameters such as the mineral and fluid content, porosity and degree of water saturation in the rock. Electrical resistivity surveys have been used for many decades in hydrogeological, mining and geotechnical investigations, but the use of this method has been recently increased due to improvements in both data acquisition and processing technologies. Multi-electrode systems have greatly improved the efficiency of data acquisition, as measurements can now be made automatically. Until recently, the DC resistivity method was limited by the need to perform complex calculations to model subsurface electrical properties. With the development of high-speed PC computer systems and improved 2D and 3D processing software, however, this limitation has been greatly reduced and the technique has seen increased application, including for the detection of subsurface openings. Further information on the application of this technique to map underground mines is presented with some of the Case Histories.

**Electromagnetic (EM) methods** – EM methods also offer the possibility to map the distribution of subsurface electrical properties. Unlike the DC resistivity method, however, it is not necessary to insert electrodes in the ground and surveying is more rapid. Disadvantages of the EM methods are that they are generally not as good as the DC resistivity method in resolving variations of electrical properties with depth and they are more subject to cultural interference, such as from electrical lines and metallic objects. For these reasons, EM methods are most commonly used to rapidly measure lateral variations of soil electrical properties, as well as to delineate the distribution of metal. EM measurements could be used to map shallow workings under favorable conditions (uniform cover, absence of cultural interference), but there are few situations where the application of this technology is practical.

**Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR)** - GPR offers the highest resolution of any geophysical method. In many cases, the time required for the acquisition of GPR profiles is minimal and subsurface profiles can normally be obtained in real time, making this tool very cost-effective. The main limitation of the GPR technique is depth of penetration under conditions commonly encountered in areas with coal workings. GPR works best in non-conductive soils, such as dry sand or sand saturated with fresh water. The soils commonly encountered in coal mining area are clays weathered from the claystones associated with
the sedimentary sequences that include the coal and these soils severely restrict the effective penetration of the radar waves. Nevertheless, GPR has been applied successfully to map mine voids and this paper offers one case history as an example.

**Magnetics** – Coal has a low magnetic susceptibility when compared to most other rocks. A void in a coal seam, therefore, will not produce a significant disturbance to the earth’s natural magnetic field. Collapse structures above a coal seam, however, might produce measurable changes and it is likely that, barring other cultural interference, a modern magnetometer could detect old mine rails at a depth of several tens of feet. The main disadvantage to the magnetometer is the likely presence of other cultural interference, especially metal, commonly encountered in areas disturbed by man.

Most geophysical techniques have been around for many years and the physics of detecting underground mines hasn’t changed. Basic interpretation strategies also haven’t changed, as interpretations are based on an understanding of the physics involved. What has changed is the ability of the geophysicist to gather data rapidly. Also, better computers and improved software have revolutionized the means by which data can be processed and presented, resulting in improved interpretations. This paper presents five recent case histories where modern data acquisition and processing systems have been applied in the detection of coal mine voids.

**Case Histories**

**Case History No. 1 – Locating Mine Workings at the Regency Park Subdivision, Plum Borough, Pennsylvania**

The Regency Park subdivision is located over shallow mine workings associated with the Plum Creek Mine operated in the late 19th and early 20th century. The subdivision has been the location of numerous foundation failures over the past several decades since the homes were constructed. This is not surprising, as many of the homes were constructed with 25 feet or less of overburden above the Pittsburgh Coal seam. This site is currently being remediated under the Abandoned Mine Land Reclamation Program directed by the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PADEP).

A portion of the subdivision was surveyed with the DC resistivity technique using the pole-dipole configuration with a multi-electrode automated measurement system manufactured by Iris Instruments. A pole-dipole survey is a type of DC resistivity measurement that uses three mobile electrodes and normally has one current electrode placed far enough from the others such that it can be considered to be at an “infinite” distance. In this case the “infinite” electrode was located several hundred feet from the profile line.

The results shown on the attached figures define a series of resistivity lows that bottom out at an elevation of 1140 feet MSL, consistent with the bottom of the Pittsburgh Coal seam as known from available mine maps and the results of borings drilled along the profile. Where borings were drilled within 5 feet of the profile, the resistivity lows were found to correspond to mine voids (partially collapsed) and the zones of relatively high resistivity between the lows was found to contain coal. The anomaly pattern and the results of the borings indicate that the resistivity lows and highs above an elevation of 1140 feet MSL
correspond to rooms and pillars, respectively. An unusual aspect of these results is that the mine is not completely flooded. Typically, there is no more than about two feet of water in the mine, so it is not obvious why the rooms would be characterized by resistivity lows. We speculate that the reason might be due to oxidation phenomena where the pillars are exposed to air and water, or simply due to the trickling of water down the pillars, but this is not certain.

The data required to prepare the electrical cross section were acquired in less than two hours. The results identify the mine workings and show where mine voids and barrier coal should be expected to be encountered if additional borings are drilled. Where the base of the coal is approximately 30 feet below ground surface, the DC resistivity method offers the possibility of rapidly delineating mine workings.

**Case History No. 2 – Locating Mine Workings at a Mine Tailings Impoundment in Jefferson County, Pennsylvania**

A DC resistivity survey was conducted at a mine tailings impoundment for the purpose of determining the practicality of mapping mine workings known to be present beneath the pool level of the tailings. This particular facility was designed by D’Appolonia in the 1980s and received tailings through the mid-1990s. The D’Appolonia borings served to provide control on the position of the coal seam and detailed coal maps were available such that the position of known entries could be accurately located in the field. As the known mine entries were known to be approximately 60 feet below ground surface, this survey represented a more difficult situation to be resolved with the DC resistivity technique than was encountered at the Regency Park site.

The DC resistivity survey was conducted using the pole-dipole technique with the remote electrode placed next to the reservoir water. The results indicate the presence of a pronounced resistivity low in the area of the known entries. It is anticipated that the workings of the mine are flooded at this location and that the response is due to the presence of acidic water in the mine.

The results serve to demonstrate the usefulness of this type of survey, not only to delineate a known entry, but also to indicate where barrier coal can be reasonably assumed to be present, as well as target possible unknown mine workings. Although the anomaly is not as strong, a resistivity low that could also be indicative of mine workings is present just above the coal seam at a position of 50 feet along the profile. The relatively minimal effort to obtain the resistivity data (less than a day’s work) could be useful in providing orientation to a drilling program.

**Case History No. 3 – Geophysical Characterization of Coal Seams in SW Indiana**

Geophysical characterization was conducted to supplement existing mine maps and a boring program in assessing the influence of past surface and deep coal mining on the siting of a proposed power plant in southwest Indiana. The property is underlain by two coal seams, the No. 7 seam at an approximate depth of 30 feet and the No. 6 seam at about 90 feet. The shallower of the two seams was partially surface mined and the available mine map indicates the presence of some auger workings extending from the former highwall. The deeper seam was mined underground.
As a supplement to conventional drilling and sampling, a DC resistivity technique was employed to address its capabilities in determining the location of abandoned mine workings. The resistivity testing was conducted along a 700-foot-long test profile that traversed a main in the deeper seam, as well as a buried highwall associated with the shallow seam. In terms of a resistivity target, the mine workings at a depth of 90 feet represent a much more complicated target than the previous two case histories, considering its depth and complex conditions above the seam, including strip mining and auger mining of a shallower seam.

The survey was initiated using two configurations: conventional dipole-dipole and an unconventional pole-dipole. With the conventional dipole-dipole configuration two electrodes separated by a constant spacing called the “a” spacing are used to inject current into the ground. With this configuration, two additional electrodes also separated by the “a” spacing are moved along the survey line at distances from the current electrodes that are multiples of the “a” spacing. The pole-dipole survey applied for this survey is considered “unconventional” because the “infinite” electrode was not placed in a remote position on the ground, but was located within the deep coal seam.

The results of the single test line provided two useful pieces of information. The existing mine map does not depict the true extent of shallow augering of coal from the former strip mine highwall. The resistivity results indicate that the augering extends about 150-200 feet from the highwall along the test line, farther than was indicated by the test borings. Also, the existing mine map appears to be a good representation of the deep mine workings. The dipole-dipole survey results depict the deep workings in a manner consistent with the mine map and the results of the boreholes.

In terms of the effectiveness of the conducted resistivity surveys, conventional dipole-dipole surveying appears to provide the best resolution of the shallow subsurface. The unconventional pole-dipole survey provided deeper penetration and could resolve the location of deep mine workings. The overall conclusion of this geophysical application was that deep coal workings can be effectively imaged, even with complex conditions above the seam. Nevertheless, we do expect that if mine workings were located deeper than this case history, the DC resistivity technique would be stretched to provide conclusive results.

**Case History No. 4 – Locating Mine Workings at the Oak Hill No. 4 Mine, Monroeville, Pennsylvania**

The Oak Hill No. 4 Mine operated throughout most of the latter half of the 19th century up to the beginning of the 20th century. Mine maps could not be reliably related to surface features in this area and the geophysical survey was conducted to determine the extent of the coal barrier left over after the mining. This area was surveyed with a DC resistivity technique different from the other case histories in that a 3D technique was applied. The other case histories provide the results of 2D electrical profiling, but recent developments of software and computer technology now allow for the processing of electrical data in three dimensions.
The survey was conducted along seven parallel profiles with the DC resistivity technique using the pole-dipole configuration and placing the electrode in moist soil at the base of a distant sinkhole. The data were then processed as a single block of data from which it was possible to extract both horizontal and vertical slices of the data set. The slice of resistivity data through the level of the Pittsburgh Coal seam depicts a pattern that appears to relate to rooms and pillars. Further analysis of the electrical cross sections through the coal provide additional evidence of the relationship of the electrical measurements to the old mine. When depicted as a 3D block, the interpreted results show where barrier coal is present. The data do not define what the mine is filled with. Similar to the Regency Park example, the mined-out area is a marked resistivity low. Subsidence craters at the ground surface indicate that the edge of the block of barrier coal in the eastern part of the survey area is an open entry. Although the remainder of the mine could also be a void, it could also be filled with gob that would also be expected to exhibit a low resistivity.

This survey is depicts another example of how the results of a geophysical survey could help define the scope of a drilling program. Borings could easily be targeted using the geophysical results to increase the probability of encountering mine workings. Conversely, the results also serve to identify the continuity of zones where mine workings are not expected to be present.

**Case History No. 5 – Locating Mine Workings with the GPR Technique at a Gas Station in Imperial, Pennsylvania**

The gas station surveyed in Imperial, Pennsylvania was originally constructed in the 1960s and reconstructed in 1996. In the process of creating land suitable for development, a bench was cut in a hillside, which inadvertently placed the structures only a few feet above the top of the Pittsburgh Coal seam. In the summer of 2001, approximately 30 years after the station began operation, a small opening appeared in the asphalt pavement through which a mine tunnel could be observed and mapped to a limited degree. D’Appolonia was contracted to determine the extent of the known mine opening and to determine if any other openings could be present.

This case history represents the unusual situation where the coal workings were in the range of penetration for the GPR system. Although the roof material was a weathered claystone, normally a difficult medium for the GPR method, the top of the visible mine tunnel was only four feet below ground surface.

The survey was conducted with both 50 and 200 MHz antennas with a RAMAC GPR system. The 200 MHz antenna did not have a sufficient depth of penetration so a 50 MHz antenna was employed. The 50 MHz antenna provided an adequate depth of penetration (to approximately 10 feet) but was also susceptible to reflections from surface objects. Specifically, the five gas pump islands represented a significant interference. Nevertheless, it did prove possible to image the known mine tunnel, as well as other suspected tunnels. The centerpoints of the known and suspected tunnels were plotted on a map of the service station and were found to define a consistent N-S alignment.

The service station has been subsequently remediated by D’Appolonia. The reflections identified from the GPR survey did prove to be tunnels, although the GPR method did not distinguish if the tunnels were open or filled with gob.
Case History No. 6 – Locating Deep Mine Workings for Methane Extraction with the S-Wave Seismic Reflection Technique in Illinois

A short (235-foot long) S-wave profile was obtained by Bay Geophysical Associates over an abandoned coal mine to detect mine openings that would be suitable targets for the installation of methane extraction wells. Two boreholes had been drilled on the basis of an old mine map, without encountering the mine. An S-wave survey was selected to detect the mine voids because S-waves will not transmit through a void whereas P-waves, the type of seismic wave normally associated with seismic exploration, can be transmitted through a void space. Mine voids should therefore appear as a "bright spot" on the S-Wave record.

The S-wave survey was conducted with the following equipment setup and field parameters:

- Bison 9048 seismograph, 48 channels
- Oyo Geospace 40 Hz horizontal geophones
- Source: hammer-struck railroad tie
- Trace interval: 2.5 feet
- Coverage: 48 fold

The data were processed by a commercial processing firm following steps routinely followed to process oil and gas exploration S-wave data. The coal seam could be related to the seismic reflections and an area where the floor of the mine had a relatively low amplitude reflection compared to the roof was targeted for an exploratory boring. Mine workings were encountered by that boring at a depth of close to 700 feet, as predicted.

Case History No. 7 – S-Wave Seismic Survey over Abandoned Coal Mine Workings, Des Moines, Iowa

Control borings at a proposed construction site in Des Moines, Iowa encountered a collapsed mine in one borehole and coal was found to be missing in several other borings. The attached figure shows a high-resolution S-wave seismic reflection seismic profile that crosses a control boring that encountered a void with wood cuttings (from timbers used to support the mine). This profile was obtained by a high-frequency S-wave vibrator developed by Bay Geophysical Associates specifically to be able to measure high-resolution profiles for engineering application to a maximum depth of approximately 300 feet. The coal seam of interest in this case is present at a depth of between about 50 – 60 feet. The profile shows a break in the reflection from the coal where the control boring encountered mine workings.

The seismic profile also shows a discontinuity of the coal seam between stations 60 and 110. When several seismic profiles were correlated, it was found that this break in the coal seam was actually a natural channel cut through the coal. The geotechnical borings that had not encountered the coal were found to have been drilled through this natural channel fill. Abandoned mine workings, such as penetrated by the single geotechnical boring and also visible on the seismic reflection profile, were not found to be a problem over most of the construction site.
**Case History No. 8 – S-Wave Seismic Survey over Abandoned Coal Mine Workings at a Power Plant Site, Danville, Illinois**

In an effort to identify potential collapse hazards near a settling pond, several high-resolution shear wave (HRSW) reflection seismic profiles were acquired on and around the berm of a settling pond. The attached figure shows one of the profiles acquired over an area outside the settling pond with borehole control. The reflection from the coal seam is shaded in yellow. Three borings were located on or adjacent to the profile. One boring encountered a complete coal section, a second boring encountered an abandoned mine drift (missing coal/void), and third boring encountered a section where but coal had been mined and had subsequently collapsed. The upper display shows the amplitudes of the reflected seismic energy and the lower display shows the instantaneous phase attribute of the seismic signal. Continuous sections of coal are indicated by the continuity of the highlighted reflection bands on both displays. The mine workings are indicated by attenuated reflections (amplitude display) and the occurrence of diffractions at and above the coal seam (instantaneous phase display). The area of collapse is indicated by a disruption of reflections and the chaotic response of instantaneous phase. A feature that is probably another mine void is present at the right side of the profile, but has not yet been confirmed by drilling.

**Conclusions**

Geophysics has the potential to be a useful tool in delineating coal mine workings. For shallow workings (50 – 100 feet or less), the most promising technique is DC resistivity as this method is rapid and relatively inexpensive. For deeper targets, the high resolution seismic reflection technique has demonstrated capability, especially when S-waves are recorded. The techniques have the potential to complement one another in the 50 – 100 foot depth range. None of the geophysical methods represent stand-alone techniques that will replace the need for confirmatory borings. The utility of the geophysics is that it offers a continuity of data that allows for the targeting of boreholes in areas where voids will most likely be encountered. Perhaps more significantly, geophysics offers the possibility of identifying the places where mine voids will not be encountered, greatly enhancing the efficiency of a drilling program.
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